



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/532,060 | 11/15/2005 | Kiyoshi Ohgishi | 2005_0702A | 5817 |
| 513 | 7590 | 02/18/2009 | | |
| WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BLOOM, NATHAN J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2624 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/18/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/532,060 | OHGISHI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| NATHAN BLOOM | 2624 | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: _____ | | |
| (1) <u>NATHAN BLOOM</u> . | (3) _____. | | |
| (2) <u>Kenneth Fields (Reg. 52430)</u> . | (4) _____. | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>10 February 2009</u> | Time: <u>12:30</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| <i>None</i> | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| <i>None</i> | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| <i>None</i> | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | | |
| <i>Examiner asked applicants if a reply had been sent by the statutory 6 month date (02/06/2009), and applicant replied that no reply has been sent.</i> | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| <i>/Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 /NATHAN BLOOM/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624</i> | | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | | |